Course:	DMD 456 A - Digital Media/Design Portfolio	Department:	DMD
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	3 / 5 (60%)

	Olara Orana Fasharitan Orana			D	MD	- A		
In-	Class Course Evaluation - Course		por	ses		Course		
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	2	0	1	0	0	3	4.3
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

	In Class Course Evaluation Course					DMD 456 - A										
In-C	lass Course Evaluation - Course	Responses						Course								
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean								
Q5	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0								
Q6	Technical support was available.	2	0	1	0	0	3	4.3								
Q7	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7								
Q8	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0								
Q9	An effective learning community was established in the course.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0								
Q10	Registration for the course was easy.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0								

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

	DMD 456 - A											
In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		ı	Respons	es	Course							
	F	S	J	s	0	N	Mean					
Q11 Student status:	0	0	0	3	0	3	4.0					

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [0] Other=5

La Class Course Forbutton Course	DMD 456 - A											
In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		F	Responses		Course							
	М	М	GE	E	0	N	Mean					
Q12 Class is requirement for:	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0					

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

				ŀ	ges			
In-C	lass Instructor Evaluations		Res	pon	ses	;	In	dividual
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Question: What were the strongest qualities of the course?								
Response Rate: 66.67% (2 of 3)								
1 Interaction with the other students and professor.								

2 Everything

Faculty:	Kyle Herges
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	33.33% (1 of 3)
1 None, everyt	hing was good

Course:	DMD 456 A - Digital Media/Design Portfolio	Department:	DMD
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	1 / 3 (33.33%)

			DMD 456 - A							
In-	n-Class Course Evaluation - Course							Course		
		SA	A	N	D	s	D I	Mean	Std Dev	
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	1	0	0	0	() 1	5.0	0	
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	1	0	0	0	() 1	5.0	0	
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	1	0	0	0	() 1	5.0	0	
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	1	0	0	0	() 1	5.0	0	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

		DMD 456 - A							
In-C	lass Course Evaluation - Course		Res	pon	ses	Course			
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q5	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0
Q6	Technical support was available.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0
Q7	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0
Q8	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0
Q9	An effective learning community was established in the course.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0
Q10	Registration for the course was easy.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0

 $\textbf{Responses:} \ [\textbf{SA}] \ \ \text{Strongly Agree=5} \ \ [\textbf{A}] \ \ \text{Agree=4} \ \ [\textbf{N}] \ \ \text{Neutral=3} \ \ [\textbf{D}] \ \ \text{Disagree=2} \ \ [\textbf{SD}] \ \ \text{Strongly Disagree=1}$

					DMI	D 456	- A			
In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		Re	spon	ses						
	F	s	J	s	0	N	Mean	Std Dev		
Q11 Student status:	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.0	0		

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course					DMD 4	56 - A				
In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		R	esponse	es Course		Course				
	М	М	GE	E	o	N	Mean	Std Dev		
Q12 Class is requirement for:	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0		

 $\textbf{Responses:} \ [\textbf{M}] \ \ \text{Major=5} \ \ [\textbf{M}] \ \ \text{Minor=4} \ \ [\textbf{GE}] \ \ \text{Gen Ed=3} \ \ [\textbf{E}] \ \ \text{Elective=2} \ \ [\textbf{O}] \ \ \text{Other=1}$

		Herges, Kyle N								
In-C	lass Instructor Evaluations	F	les	ро	nse	es		lual		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0	
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0	
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0	
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0	
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0	
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0	
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0	
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0	
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0	
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0	
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0	
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0	
	Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Di	sagree	=2	[S	D]	Stro	ngl	y Disagr	ee=1	

Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate:	100.00% (1 of 1)
1 The one on or	ne time with the teacher

Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?

Response Rate: No participants responded to this question. (0 of 1)

FA 2015-2016 In-Class Course Evaluations - End of Term	Dakota Wesleyan
FA 2015-2016	University

Course:	DMD 456 A - Digital Media/Design Portfolio	Department:	DMD
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	1 / 2 (50%)

			Herges, Kyle N									
In-Cl	ass Instructor Evaluations		Re	esp	on	se	s	:	Individua			
			SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev		
Q1	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.		1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0		
Q2	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.		1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0		
Q3	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the	e subject matter.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0		
Q4	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the	course material.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0		
Q5	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.		1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0		
Q6	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.		1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0		
Q7	The instructor was available for questions when needed.		1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0		
Q8	The instructor was well prepared for class.		1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0		
Q9	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timel	y manner.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0		
Q10	The instructor challenged me to think critically.		1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0		
Q11	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.		1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0		
012	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.		1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0		

SP 2014-2015 In Class Course Evaluations - End of Term SP 2014-2015

Dakota Wesleyan University

Course:	WEB 456 A - Digital Communications Portfolio	Department:	WEB
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	2 / 3 (66.67%)

					WE	В 456 -	- A												
In-Class Course Evaluation		Re	espons	ses			Course												
	F	s	J	s	О	N	Mean	Std Dev											
Q1 Student status:	0	0	0	2	0	2	4.0	0											

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

WEB 456 - A In-Class Course Evaluation Responses Cour										
In-Class Course Evaluation Responses					Course					
	М	М	GE	E	0	N	Mean	Std Dev		
Q2 Class is requirement for:	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0		

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

					WEB 456 - A								
In-C	lass Course Evaluation	ı	Res	ро	ns		se						
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std				
Q3	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50				
Q4	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50				
Q5	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0				
Q6	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0				
Q7	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50				
Q8	Technical support was available.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0				
Q9	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0				
Q10	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0				
Q11	An effective learning community was established in the course.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50				
Q12	Registration for the course was easy.	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	0				

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

			yle N	le N						
In-C	ass Instructor Evaluations	ı	Res	ро	ns	es		Individ	ual	
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
024	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	

Question: What were the strongest qualities of the course?

Response Rate: 50.00% (1 of 2)

1 I feel prepared to enter the workforce. I am confident, and I have all of the materials needed for a professional environment.

Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	50.00 % (1 of 2)
1 I thought it wa	is great!

FA 2012-2013 End of Term In-Class Evaluation FA 2012-2013 Dakota Wesleyan University

Course:	WEB 475 A - Multimedia Portfolio	Department:	WEB
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	2 / 2 (100%)

				WEB 475 - A									
In-	In-Class Course Evaluation				nse	Course							
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev				
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0				
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50				
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0				
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0				

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

					WE	В 475 -	· A										
In-Class Course Evaluation	Responses Course																
	F	s	J	s	O	N	Mean	Std Dev									
Q5 Student status:	0	0	0	2	0	2	4.0	0									

 $\textbf{Responses:} \ [\textbf{F}] \ \ \text{Freshman=1} \ \ [\textbf{S}] \ \ \text{Sophomore=2} \ \ [\textbf{J}] \ \ \text{Junior=3} \ \ [\textbf{S}] \ \ \text{Senior=4} \ \ [\textbf{O}] \ \ \text{Other=5}$

	WEB 475 - A												
In-Class Course Evaluation		F	Responses			Course							
	М	М	GE	E	0	N	Mean	Std Dev					
Q6 Class is requirement for:	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0					

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

	Herges, Kyle N											
In-C	In-Class Instructor Evaluations					Responses						
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev			
Q7	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0			
Q8	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0			
Q9	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50			
Q10	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50			
Q11	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0			
Q12	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0			
Q13	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0			
Q14	The instructor was well prepared for class.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0			
Q15	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0			
Q16	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0			
Q17	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0			
Q18	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0			

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate:	50.00% (1 of 2)
1 The strongest	quality of the course was the fact that Mr. Herges had first hand knowledge of many of the things we went over in class.

Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	50.00% (1 of 2)
1 I have no sug	gestions at this point as I find the class exceeded my expectations.