

FA 2021-2022 In-Class Evaluations - End of Term
FA 2021-2022

Dakota Wesleyan University
University

Course:	DMD 310 A - Usability for the Web	Department:	DMD
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	2 / 5 (40%)

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		DMD 310 - A						
		Responses					Course	
		F	S	J	S	O	N	Mean
Q1	Student status:	0	0	0	2	0	2	4.0
Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5								

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		DMD 310 - A						
		Responses					Course	
		M	M	GE	E	O	N	Mean
Q2	Class is requirement for:	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1								

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		DMD 310 - A						
		Responses					Course	
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean
Q3	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q4	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	0	2	0	0	0	2	4.0
Q5	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q6	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1								

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		DMD 310 - A						
		Responses					Course	
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean
Q7	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	0	1	0	0	0	1	4.0
Q8	Technical support was available.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q9	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q10	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q11	An effective learning community was established in the course.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q12	Registration for the course was easy.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1								

In-Class Instructor Evaluations		Kyle Herges						
		Responses					Individual	
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	0	2	0	0	0	2	4.0
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1								

Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate:	50.00% (1 of 2)

1	Hands on learning
---	-------------------

Faculty:	Kyle Herges
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	50.00% (1 of 2)
1	Drop the Vocab and do more preparation for projects

Course:	DMD 310 A - Usability for the Web	Department:	DMD
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	2 / 11 (18.18%)

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		DMD 310 - A						
		Responses					Course	
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1								

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		DMD 310 - A						
		Responses					Course	
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean
Q5	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q6	Technical support was available.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q7	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q8	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q9	An effective learning community was established in the course.	0	2	0	0	0	2	4.0
Q10	Registration for the course was easy.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1								

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		DMD 310 - A						
		Responses					Course	
		F	S	J	S	O	N	Mean
Q11	Student status:	0	0	2	0	0	2	3.0
Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5								

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		DMD 310 - A						
		Responses					Course	
		M	M	GE	E	O	N	Mean
Q12	Class is requirement for:	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1								

In-Class Instructor Evaluations		Kyle Herges						
		Responses					Individual	
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	0	2	0	0	0	2	4.0
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	0	2	0	0	0	2	4.0
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1								

Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate:	50.00% (1 of 2)
1	Learning things that we will apply in real life in our industry and it is helpful.

Faculty:	Kyle Herges
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	50.00% (1 of 2)
1	Schedule to give a little more time for the prototype with the partner, because students have a lot of work at this time and its hard to meet outside of class when we only have one week and three class period to work on it

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		DMD 310 - A						
		Responses					Course	
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	1	1	1	0	0	3	4.0
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	1	1	1	0	0	3	4.0
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		DMD 310 - A						
		Responses					Course	
		F	S	J	S	O	N	Mean
Q11	Student status:	0	0	1	2	0	3	3.7

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

In-Class Instructor Evaluations		Kyle Herges						
		Responses					Individual	
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	1	1	1	0	0	3	4.0
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	1	1	1	0	0	3	4.0
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	1	0	1	1	0	3	3.3
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

**FA 2015-2016 In-Class Course Evaluations - End of Term
FA 2015-2016**

**Dakota Wesleyan
University**

Course:	WEB 310 A - Web Usability	Department:	WEB
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	8 / 9 (88.89%)

In-Class Instructor Evaluations		Herges, Kyle N							
		Responses					Individual		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q1	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9	.33
Q2	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	8	0	0	0	0	8	5.0	0
Q3	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	6	1	1	0	0	8	4.6	.70
Q4	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	5	2	0	1	0	8	4.4	.99
Q5	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9	.33
Q6	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9	.33
Q7	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9	.33
Q8	The instructor was well prepared for class.	6	1	1	0	0	8	4.6	.70
Q9	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	4	2	2	0	0	8	4.3	.83
Q10	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	5	3	0	0	0	8	4.6	.48
Q11	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	5	2	1	0	0	8	4.5	.71
Q12	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8	.43
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1									

Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	50.00% (4 of 8)
1	Nothing, very we done.
2	One thing I would have liked to see was some examples of projects and information to that students fully understand what all needs to be included. The reason I say this is because I am more of a visual learner.
3	Much like the Subway assignment, studying more real life scenarios.
4	I am not a huge fan of the weekly discussions.

Course:	WEB 399 A - Sel Topic: Usability for the Web	Department:	WEB
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	3 / 5 (60%)

In-Class Instructor Evaluations		Herges, Kyle N							
		Responses					Individual		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q1	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7	.47
Q2	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7	.47
Q3	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3	.47
Q4	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3	.47
Q5	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7	.47
Q6	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3	.47
Q7	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3	.47
Q8	The instructor was well prepared for class.	2	0	1	0	0	3	4.3	.94
Q9	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3	.47
Q10	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7	.47
Q11	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7	.47
Q12	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3	.47
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1									

Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	33.33% (1 of 3)
1	I would suggest having the students working together earlier on in the course so when they begin on the large group project they are already working in a cohesive manner.

FA 2012-2013 End of Term In-Class Evaluation
FA 2012-2013

Dakota Wesleyan University

Course:	WEB 399 A - Sel Topic: Usability for the Web	Department:	WEB
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	5 / 5 (100%)

In-Class Course Evaluation		WEB 399 - A							
		Responses					Course		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8	.40
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	2	3	0	0	0	5	4.4	.49
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1									

In-Class Course Evaluation		WEB 399 - A							
		Responses					Course		
		F	S	J	S	O	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q5	Student status:	0	1	1	3	0	5	3.4	.80
Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5									

In-Class Course Evaluation		WEB 399 - A							
		Responses					Course		
		M	M	GE	E	O	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q6	Class is requirement for:	4	0	1	0	0	5	4.6	.80
Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1									

In-Class Instructor Evaluations		Herges, Kyle N							
		Responses					Individual		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q7	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49
Q8	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8	.40
Q9	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49
Q10	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	2	3	0	0	0	5	4.4	.49
Q11	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49
Q12	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	3	1	1	0	0	5	4.4	.80
Q13	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49
Q14	The instructor was well prepared for class.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49
Q15	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49
Q16	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49
Q17	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	2	3	0	0	0	5	4.4	.49
Q18	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1									

Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate:	60.00% (3 of 5)
1	It has a lot of quality information.
2	The strongest qualities of the course were the hands-on portions, specifically the prototyping phase of the website redesign.
3	Being able to design our own websites using our own imagination was really fun.

Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	60.00% (3 of 5)
1	Never have it at 8 in the morning!!!!!!!!!!
2	My suggestion would be to start with a entirely new website as opposed to redesigning an existing one, as that would't seem to be quite as daunting of a task.
3	I hate to say this, especially if he knows who said this, but I really haven't been happy with some of the grades that I have gotten. I just prefer to not be a jerk and voice that during class. There was a project in which I thought I deserved a much better grade because of what I did for the project. The worst part about it was that another student, who I felt did a very inferior job to what I did, got a better grade than I did. I hate to point out things like this because I don't want to hurt my relationship with Kyle, but I kind of have felt like I have been held to a much higher standard than some of the rest of the class.