

Course: WEB 300 A - Advanced Media Design	Department: WEB
Responsible Faculty: Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected: 2 / 4 (50%)

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		WEB 300 - A							
		Responses					Course		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1									

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		WEB 300 - A							
		Responses					Course		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q5	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q6	Technical support was available.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q7	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50
Q8	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q9	An effective learning community was established in the course.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q10	Registration for the course was easy.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1									

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		WEB 300 - A							
		Responses					Course		
		F	S	J	S	O	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q11	Student status:	1	1	0	0	0	2	1.5	.50
Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5									

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		WEB 300 - A							
		Responses					Course		
		M	M	GE	E	O	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q12	Class is requirement for:	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1									

In-Class Instructor Evaluations		Herges, Kyle N							
		Responses					Individual		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1									

Question: What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate: 100.00% (2 of 2)
1 The class discussions and criticisms help me improve and handle criticisms better.
2 Gave us information we are going to need after we graduate from college.

Faculty: Herges, Kyle N

Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	No participants responded to this question. (0 of 2)

SP 2014-2015 In Class Course Evaluations - End of Term
SP 2014-2015

Dakota Wesleyan University

Course: WEB 300 A - Advanced Media Design	Department: WEB
Responsible Faculty: Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected: 2 / 3 (66.67%)

In-Class Course Evaluation	WEB 300 - A								
	Responses					Course			
	F	S	J	S	O	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q1 Student status:	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.5	.50	
Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5									

In-Class Course Evaluation	WEB 300 - A								
	Responses					Course			
	M	M	GE	E	O	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q2 Class is requirement for:	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1									

In-Class Course Evaluation	WEB 300 - A								
	Responses					Course			
	SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q3 Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
Q4 Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	1	0	0	1	0	2	3.5	1.50	
Q5 Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	1	0	0	1	0	2	3.5	1.50	
Q6 I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	1	0	1	0	0	2	4.0	1	
Q7 Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	1	0	0	1	0	2	3.5	1.50	
Q8 Technical support was available.	1	0	1	0	0	2	4.0	1	
Q9 I received regular updates regarding course activities.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
Q10 Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	1	0	0	1	0	2	3.5	1.50	
Q11 An effective learning community was established in the course.	1	0	1	0	0	2	4.0	1	
Q12 Registration for the course was easy.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1									

In-Class Instructor Evaluations	Herges, Kyle N								
	Responses					Individual			
	SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q13 The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q14 The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
Q15 The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	1	0	1	0	0	2	4.0	1	
Q16 The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	1	0	1	0	0	2	4.0	1	
Q17 The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
Q18 The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
Q19 The instructor was available for questions when needed.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q20 The instructor was well prepared for class.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
Q21 Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
Q22 The instructor challenged me to think critically.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q23 The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
Q24 The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1									

Question: What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate: 100.00% (2 of 2)
1 Great walk through of processes for different assignments. The learning and skills are continually built on a used again and again as the course progresses.
2 The learning environment is comfortable and relaxed.

Faculty: Herges, Kyle N
Question: What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate: 100.00% (2 of 2)
1 More of a focus on the process of setting up the actual design. I sincerely think that most of these projects would be 1xxxx times as valuable if the sources photos were good quality. Chances are pretty good that if the elements and principles of design are not present in the source images - the final product will reflect that. (not always) Also, graded presentations of major projects. Additionally, a couple key large projects could be slightly more open in requirements but open to refinement after CONSTRUCTIVE feedback is given and evaluated. Finally - I hate macs.

2 Take more time to review terminology and more in-class discussions about html and css.

Course:	WEB 300 A - Advanced Media Design	Department:	WEB
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	4 / 4 (100%)

In-Class Course Evaluation	WEB 300 - A							
	Responses					Course		
	F	S	J	S	O	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q1 Student status:	1	1	2	0	0	4	2.3	.83

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

In-Class Course Evaluation	WEB 300 - A							
	Responses					Course		
	M	M	GE	E	O	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q2 Class is requirement for:	3	0	0	1	0	4	4.3	1.30

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

In-Class Course Evaluation	WEB 300 - A								
	Responses					Course			
	SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q3 Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q4 Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0	0	
Q5 Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q6 I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0	0	
Q7 Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	2	1	0	1	0	4	4.0	1.22	
Q8 Technical support was available.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q9 I received regular updates regarding course activities.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50	
Q10 Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50	
Q11 An effective learning community was established in the course.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0	0	
Q12 Registration for the course was easy.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0	0	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

In-Class Instructor Evaluations	Herges, Kyle N								
	Responses					Individual			
	SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q13 The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0	0	
Q14 The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0	0	
Q15 The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50	
Q16 The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50	
Q17 The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q18 The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q19 The instructor was available for questions when needed.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50	
Q20 The instructor was well prepared for class.	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0	0	
Q21 Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q22 The instructor challenged me to think critically.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q23 The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q24 The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate:	50.00% (2 of 4)
1	Hands-on learning. Help when needed. I like the "you can do this" assignments. Small class. Good atmosphere.
2	The small class size really made it easy to communicate with the teacher and other students

Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	50.00% (2 of 4)
1	Maybe if the discussion posts were worth less points. They are nice for adding extra points to the grade-book but the discussion is not always related to what we are doing in class so it is easy to forget about which makes it more of a hindrance to our grade. So, lowering the points on it might make it less stressful but also still a way to get more points.

Course:	WEB 300 A - Advanced Media Design	Department:	WEB
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	6 / 6 (100%)

In-Class Instructor Evaluations		Herges, Kyle N							
		Responses					Individual		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q1	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47
Q2	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47
Q3	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47
Q4	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	2	4	0	0	0	6	4.3	.47
Q5	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	3	3	0	0	0	6	4.5	.50
Q6	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47
Q7	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47
Q8	The instructor was well prepared for class.	3	3	0	0	0	6	4.5	.50
Q9	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	2	4	0	0	0	6	4.3	.47
Q10	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	3	3	0	0	0	6	4.5	.50
Q11	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	1	4	1	0	0	6	4.0	.58
Q12	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Course: WEB 300 A - Advanced Media Design	Department: WEB
Responsible Faculty: Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected: 6 / 6 (100%)

In-Class Course Evaluation	WEB 300 - A								
	Responses					Course			
	F	S	J	S	O	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q1 Student status:	0	1	2	2	1	6	3.5	.96	
Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5									

In-Class Course Evaluation	WEB 300 - A								
	Responses					Course			
	M	M	GE	E	O	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q2 Class is requirement for:	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47	
Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1									

In-Class Course Evaluation	WEB 300 - A								
	Responses					Course			
	SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q3 Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47	
Q4 Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	3	3	0	0	0	6	4.5	.50	
Q5 Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	2	4	0	0	0	6	4.3	.47	
Q6 I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47	
Q7 Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	3	3	0	0	0	6	4.5	.50	
Q8 Technical support was available.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47	
Q9 I received regular updates regarding course activities.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47	
Q10 Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	3	3	0	0	0	6	4.5	.50	
Q11 An effective learning community was established in the course.	3	3	0	0	0	6	4.5	.50	
Q12 Registration for the course was easy.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47	
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1									

In-Class Instructor Evaluations	Herges, Kyle N								
	Responses					Individual			
	SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q13 The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47	
Q14 The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47	
Q15 The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47	
Q16 The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	3	3	0	0	0	6	4.5	.50	
Q17 The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	3	3	0	0	0	6	4.5	.50	
Q18 The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47	
Q19 The instructor was available for questions when needed.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47	
Q20 The instructor was well prepared for class.	3	3	0	0	0	6	4.5	.50	
Q21 Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	3	3	0	0	0	6	4.5	.50	
Q22 The instructor challenged me to think critically.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47	
Q23 The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	2	4	0	0	0	6	4.3	.47	
Q24 The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47	
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1									

Question: What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate: 33.33% (2 of 6)
1 I really liked the hands on approach used in this course. The opportunity to actually work on the web pages and learn through doing the actual coding was very beneficial. It not only helps you learn the material, but gives you a taste of what a career in web design is actually like.
2 The strongest quality of the course was that it was very hands on with everything we went over in class. This really helped to cement what we were learning.

Faculty: Herges, Kyle N
Question: What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate: 16.67% (1 of 6)
1 The only suggestion I have would be to start off the course with an in-class walk-through before reading the chapter. I only suggest this because some of the chapters were a bit dense and the in-class walk-throughs and smaller projects helped me to learn the material better.