Course:	COM 205 A - Communication Media & Society	Department:	СОМ
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	12 / 25 (48%)

	COM 205 - A										
ss Course Evaluation - Course		F	Respons	es			Course				
	F	s	J	S	0	N	Mean				
Q1 Student status:	0	2	5	5	0	12	3.3				

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

	COM 205 - A										
In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		R	esponses				Course				
	М	М	GE	E	0	N	Mean				
Q2 Class is requirement for:	9	1	0	2	0	12	4.4				

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

In-	Class Course Evaluation - Course		COM 205					5 - A			
	orass course Evaluation - course	SA 7 9 7	Response				;	ourse			
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean			
Q3	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	7	5	0	0	0	12	4.6			
Q4	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	9	3	0	0	0	12	4.8			
Q5	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	7	4	1	0	0	12	4.5			
Q6	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	7	4	1	0	0	12	4.5			

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

			Responses SA A N D S	1 205 -	205 - A					
In-C	lass Course Evaluation - Course		Res	spons	ses		(Course		
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean		
Q7	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	7	4	1	0	0	12	4.5		
Q8	Technical support was available.	8	4	0	0	0	12	4.7		
Q9	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	8	2	2	0	0	12	4.5		
Q10	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	6	3	3	0	0	12	4.3		
Q11	An effective learning community was established in the course.	8	2	2	0	0	12	4.5		
Q12	Registration for the course was easy.	8	2	1	0	0	11	4.6		

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

				K	yle	Herg	es	
In-C	lass Instructor Evaluations		Res	pon	ses		Ind	ividual
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	7	5	0	0	0	12	4.6
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	8	4	0	0	0	12	4.7
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	8	2	2	0	0	12	4.5
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	7	4	1	0	0	12	4.5
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	7	3	2	0	0	12	4.4
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	7	3	1	0	0	11	4.5
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	7	4	1	0	0	12	4.5
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	8	4	0	0	0	12	4.7
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	7	4	1	0	0	12	4.5
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	6	4	1	0	0	11	4.5
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	7	3	1	0	0	11	4.5
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	7	5	0	0	0	12	4.6

	Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
	Response Rate:	58.33% (7 of 12)
Ī	1 The stronge	st qualities of the course was hootsuite i learned a lot from that.

2	The Hootsuite quizzes prepared me well for the platform certifications, and I learned a lot through preparing with the videos. I liked the article projects and class discussions; it was always interesting to hear others' perspectives on different topics.
3	Kyle was chill and was super helpful in answering my questions.
4	It was nice to get a certificate ate
5	He was very helpful and understanding
6	Class collaboration
7	Being able to use these assignments and apply to real life.

	Faculty:	Kyle Herges
	Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Re	sponse Rate:	58.33% (7 of 12)
1	Try to be co	oler - Barak Minor
2	This class is	chill and low stress- let's keep it that way bruh.
3	Nothing it w	as very helpful and a fun course
4		ons; I enjoyed the class and learned so much. The Hootsuite quizzes were challenging (and sometimes required a lot of preparation), but they taught me a lot. or a great semester!
5	N/A	
6	More guideli	nes
7	Maybe start	the project sooner, if possible.

Course:	COM 205 A - Communication Media & Society	Department:	СОМ
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	8 / 25 (32%)

La Clara Company Franchista Company				C	OM 205 -	A		
In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		ı	Respons	es		Course		
	F	S	J	S	0	N	Mean	
Q1 Student status:	1	2	2	3	0	8	2.9	

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

	COM 205 - A											
In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		F	Responses				Course					
	М	М	GE	E	0	N	Mean					
Q2 Class is requirement for:	4	1	0	2	1	8	3.6					

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

	n-Class Course Evaluation - Course			С	- A			
In-			Responses					ourse
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean
Q:	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	5	1	2	0	0	8	4.4
Q	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	4	2	2	0	0	8	4.3
Q	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	4	2	2	0	0	8	4.3
Q	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	4	1	3	0	0	8	4.1

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

	In-Class Course Evaluation - Course				COM 205 - A								
In-C					ses			Course					
		SA A N D SD		N	Mean								
Q7	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	3	3	2	0	0	8	4.1					
Q8	Technical support was available.	4	2	2	0	0	8	4.3					
Q9	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	4	2	2	0	0	8	4.3					
Q10	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	4	2	2	0	0	8	4.3					
Q11	An effective learning community was established in the course.	4	2	2	0	0	8	4.3					
Q12	Registration for the course was easy.	3	2	2	0	0	7	4.1					

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

	Close Instructor Evaluations			jes				
In-C	lass Instructor Evaluations		Res	pon	;	Individu		
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	5	2	1	0	0	8	4.5
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	6	1	1	0	0	8	4.6
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	4	3	1	0	0	8	4.4
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	2	4	2	0	0	8	4.0
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	3	4	1	0	0	8	4.3
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	3	4	1	0	0	8	4.3
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	4	3	1	0	0	8	4.4
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	4	3	1	0	0	8	4.4
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	3	4	1	0	0	8	4.3
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	3	3	2	0	0	8	4.1
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	2	5	1	0	0	8	4.1
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	4	3	1	0	0	8	4.4

	Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Res	ponse Rate:	75.00 % (6 of 8)
1	We were give	en a lot of power rather than just being told exactly what to do

- 2 Twitter

 3 The certification we took will help us in the future. Professor Herges made the class interesting and effective.
- 4 Learning key strategies for marketing aspects. Becoming certified certified through Hootsuite.
- 5 Getting us to communicate with each other during discussion in the classroom and on Twitter. The course helped give us a better understanding of social media and how to use social media for ads, etc.
- 6 Applicable to life

Faculty:	Kyle Herges
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	62.50% (5 of 8)
4 Tourneline in	linds analysis but I understand the same

- 1 Tweeting is kinda annoying, but I understand the reason
- 2 The articles get to be a little repetitive
- Nothing! Kyle was a great instructor who would help us when we had questions. He made the course very easy to understand and didn't stress me out when I came to class.

 He made the course relate to the world around us and that helped us better understand Social Media and way more. I liked how we did most of our discussions on Twitter. It was an interesting way to communicate with my classmates. Overall, I thought this was a great class. It was easy and fun!:)
- 4 Nothing! I loved the course and his teaching so much I'm taking another class with him next semester for fun.
- 5 None

Course:	COM 205 A - Communication Media & Society	Department:	СОМ
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	8 / 26 (30.77%)

In-	In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		.	_	- A			
			Res A	pon N	ses D	SD	N	Mean
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8

					COM 205 - A							
In-C	lass Course Evaluation - Course	Responses						Course				
		SA A N D SD		N	Mean							
Q5	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	5	3	0	0	0	8	4.6				
Q6	Technical support was available.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8				
Q7	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8				
Q8	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8				
Q9	An effective learning community was established in the course.	5	3	0	0	0	8	4.6				
Q10	Registration for the course was easy.	6	1	0	0	0	7	4.9				

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

				CC	OM 205 -	A			
In-Class Course Evaluation - Course	Responses						Course		
	F	S	J	s	0	N	Mean		
Q11 Student status:	2	1	3	2	0	8	2.6		

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

L. Class Communication Communication				сом 2	05 - A		
In-Class Course Evaluation - Course	Responses Co						Course
	М	М	GE	E	0	N	Mean
Q12 Class is requirement for:	4	3	1	0	0	8	4.4

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

		Kyle Herges									
In-C	lass Instructor Evaluations		Res	pon		Individ					
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean			
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8			
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8			
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8			
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	6	1	1	0	0	8	4.6			
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8			
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8			
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8			
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9			
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9			
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	4	4	0	0	0	8	4.5			
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	5	2	0	0	0	7	4.7			
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8			

Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?								
Response Rate:	50.00% (4 of 8)								
1 the class interaction and engagement were strong qualities, as well as the real world examples.									

2	learning how to utilize social in the modern day work force
3	I like how interactive the course is between students.
4	Group discussions were a very prevalent part of the course

Faculty:		Kyle Herges
Question		What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Re	sponse Rate:	50.00% (4 of 8)
1	keep updatir	ng content as the course progresses and technology changes
2	None	
3	I don't have	any suggestions. I genuinely enjoy coming to class and listening to Professor Herges. He is a man that knows what he is talking about.
4	By far my fa	vorite course in all my years of going to school. the knowledge I gained from this course applies to the real world more than anything else I have been taught.

Course:	COM 205 A - Communication Media & Society	Department:	СОМ
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	8 / 19 (42.11%)

In-	In-Class Course Evaluation - Course				COM 205 - Responses							
			A	N	D	SD	N	Mean				
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	8	0	0	0	0	8	5.0				
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8				
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	5	3	0	0	0	8	4.6				
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	8	0	0	0	0	8	5.0				

In Class Course Evaluation Course					COM 205 - A										
In-Class Course Evaluation - Course				Responses											
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean							
Q5	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8							
Q6	Technical support was available.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8							
Q7	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9							
Q8	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9							
Q9	An effective learning community was established in the course.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9							
Q10	Registration for the course was easy.	5	2	0	0	0	7	4.7							

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		Responses					Course
	F	S	J	s	0	N	Mean
Q11 Student status:	4	1	1	1	1	8	2.3

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [0] Other=5

to Olive O week Facilities O week				COM 2	05 - A		
In-Class Course Evaluation - Course	Responses			Course			
	М	М	GE	E	0	N	Mean
Q12 Class is requirement for:	7	0	0	1	0	8	4.6

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

			Responses SA A N D SD 7 1 0 0 0 8 0 0 0 0 7 1 0 0 0 5 1 2 0 0 8 0 0 0 0 6 2 0 0 0					Kyle Herges					
In-C	lass Instructor Evaluations							Responses Individ			dividual		
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean					
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9					
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	8	0	0	0	0	8	5.0					
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9					
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	5	1	2	0	0	8	4.4					
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	8	0	0	0	0	8	5.0					
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8					
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	5	3	0	0	0	8	4.6					
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9					
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	5	1	1	1	0	8	4.3					
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	5	3	0	0	0	8	4.6					
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	5	3	0	0	0	8	4.6					
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	5	3	0	0	0	8	4.6					

(Question:	: What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Respon	nse Rate:	: 37.50% (3 of 8)
1 Pro	ofessor H	Herges is a great teacher. Love his class and want to take more of his courses he teaches.

Our discussion posts and article days were strong qualities of this course and I enjoyed them.
 I liked that classes were very structured, we always knew what was due and when it was due.

	Faculty:	Kyle Herges						
	Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?						
Re	sponse Rate:	25.00% (2 of 8)						
1	Try to get papers graded faster. It's hard to know whether my writings for this class were good because I wasn't able to see my grades for the papers I had written prior.							
2	Nothing I think Professor Herges did very well teaching this course							

Course:	COM 205 A - Communication Media & Society	Department:	СОМ
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	7 / 12 (58.33%)

In-	In-Class Course Evaluation - Course				COM 205 - Responses							
			A	N	ses D	SD	N	Mean				
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	5	2	0	0	0	7	4.7				
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	3	4	0	0	0	7	4.4				
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	3	4	0	0	0	7	4.4				
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	5	2	0	0	0	7	4.7				

COM 205 - A											
In-C	ass Course Evaluation - Course	Responses									
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean			
Q5	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	4	2	1	0	0	7	4.4			
Q6	Technical support was available.	3	4	0	0	0	7	4.4			
Q7	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	4	3	0	0	0	7	4.6			
Q8	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	3	4	0	0	0	7	4.4			
Q9	An effective learning community was established in the course.	3	4	0	0	0	7	4.4			
Q10	Registration for the course was easy.	3	3	1	0	0	7	4.3			

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		COM 205 - A									
		F	Respons	es	Course						
	F	s	J	s	0	N	Mean				
Q11 Student status:	3	0	2	2	0	7	2.4				

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		COM 205 - A									
		ı	Responses		Course						
	М	М	GE	E	0	N	Mean				
Q12 Class is requirement for:	6	0	0	0	1	7	4.4				

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

				K	yle	Herç	jes	
In-C	lass Instructor Evaluations		Res	pon	ses		Inc	dividual
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	4	3	0	0	0	7	4.6
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	5	2	0	0	0	7	4.7
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	4	3	0	0	0	7	4.6
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	3	4	0	0	0	7	4.4
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	3	4	0	0	0	7	4.4
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	4	3	0	0	0	7	4.6
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	3	4	0	0	0	7	4.4
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	4	3	0	0	0	7	4.6
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	4	2	1	0	0	7	4.4
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	2	4	1	0	0	7	4.1
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	3	4	0	0	0	7	4.4
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	3	4	0	0	0	7	4.4

	Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Resp	onse Rate:	71.43 % (5 of 7)
1 t	he constant	discussions and how we relate to the chapters

2	It's relevant
3	Everything
4	Discussion with classmates on Fridays were awesome and unique to other classes.
5	Allows you to keep an open mind and shows you how much we depend on technology

	Faculty:	Kyle Herges					
Question		What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?					
Response Rate		57.14% (4 of 7)					
1	spice class	ime up a little more. For quizzes those should be Wednesday not Mondays					
2	none						
3	None						
4	None, everyt	hing was good					

Course:	COM 205 A - Communication Media & Society	Department:	COM
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	6 / 11 (54.55%)

		COM 205 - A										
In-C	Class Course Evaluation - Course	ı	Res	por	nses	3	Course					
0		SA	А	N	D	SD N N N O 6	Mean	Std Dev				
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	3	3	0	0	0	6	4.5	.50			
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	5	1	0	0	0	6	4.8	.37			
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	3	2	1	0	0	6	4.3	.75			
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	6	0	0	0	0	6	5.0	0			
	Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] [) isag	ree:	=2 [SD	 Stro	ngly	y Disagı	ree=1			

		COM 205 - A											
In-Cla	ass Course Evaluation - Course		Res	por	ses	6	Course						
		SA	А	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev				
Q5	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	3	2	1	0	0	6	4.3	.75				
Q6	Technical support was available.	3	3	0	0	0	6	4.5	.50				
Q7	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47				
Q8	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47				
Q9	An effective learning community was established in the course.	6	0	0	0	0	6	5.0	0				
Q10	Registration for the course was easy.	6	0	0	0	0	6	5.0	0				

				COM 205 - A									
In-Clas	In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		Re	spon	ses		Course						
	TO Class Gourse Evaluation Gourse			J	S	0	N	Mean	Std Dev				
Q11	Student status:	2	0	4	0	0	6	2.3	.94				
	Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] So	phom	ore=	2 [J] J	unior=	=3 [S]	Senior=4 [O]	Other=5				

	COM 205 - A									
In-Class C	In-Class Course Evaluation - Course				s	Course				
	III Glade Godise Evalidation Godise		М	GE	Е	0	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q12 Cla	ass is requirement for:	4	0	0	1	1	6	3.8	1.67	

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

					Herç	ges, I	<yle< th=""><th>N N</th><th></th></yle<>	N N			
In-Cla	ass Instructor Evaluations	Responses						Individual			
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev		
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	5	1	0	0	0	6	4.8	.37		
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	5	1	0	0	0	6	4.8	.37		
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	5	0	1	0	0	6	4.7	.75		
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47		
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	5	1	0	0	0	6	4.8	.37		
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	5	1	0	0	0	6	4.8	.37		
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	5	1	0	0	0	6	4.8	.37		
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	5	1	0	0	0	6	4.8	.37		
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	1	3	2	0	0	6	3.8	.69		
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	6	0	0	0	0	6	5.0	0		
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	6	0	0	0	0	6	5.0	0		
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	5	1	0	0	0	6	4.8	.37		

Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate:	83.33% (5 of 6)

- We had a fair amount of points in the class which made it easier to keep our grades up if we happened to not do so well on an assignment. Class discussions where done very well and we where always given enough time in advance to get the assignments done.
- The course allowed me to understand how this country has progressed from the use of social media and the advancement of technology.
- 3 The class was very informing and thought provoking. All expectations were very clear to students.
- I find that the hands-on activities were eye-opening and worthwhile. Also, class discussions were great
 because it allowed for fluidity. In other words, the professor or a fellow classmate could change your
 perspective on social media, how people thrive as networked individuals, and whether technology is (at times)

	beneficial or not.
5	Being able to complete the assignments and hand them in on time

Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	50.00% (3 of 6)

1	This class was great! If anything, I would switch up the article days. They weren't bad by any means, I would just suggest trying something new for more in-depth discussion on those days. Maybe offering questions on Tigernet that students can answer and use while explaining their article? Just a thought!
2	I really don't have any suggestions
3	Class was done very well. Only thing would be to keep up on the grades. Just so we have a general idea of where our grade sits. Its hard to determine when you there are grades still not put in from weeks prior.

FA 2015-2016 In-Class Course Evaluations - End of Term
FA 2015-2016

Course:	COM 205 A - Communication Media & Society	Department:	СОМ
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	9 / 11 (81.82%)

Dakota Wesleyan University

		Herges, Kyle N										
In-C	lass Instructor Evaluations		≀es	ро		Individual						
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Sto			
Q1	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	9	0	0	0	0	9	5.0	0			
Q2	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	9	0	0	0	0	9	5.0	0			
Q3	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	8	0	0	0	0	8	5.0	0			
Q4	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	8	1	0	0	0	9	4.9	.31			
Q5	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	9	0	0	0	0	9	5.0	0			
Q6	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	9	0	0	0	0	9	5.0	0			
Q7	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	8	1	0	0	0	9	4.9	.31			
Q8	The instructor was well prepared for class.	9	0	0	0	0	9	5.0	0			
Q9	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	9	0	0	0	0	9	5.0	0			
Q10	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	9	0	0	0	0	9	5.0	0			
Q11	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	8	0	0	0	0	8	5.0	0			
Q12	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	9	0	0	0	0	9	5.0	0			

		Responses. [SA] Strongly Agree-5 [A] Agree-4 [A] Nettral-5 [D] Disagree-2 [SD] Strongly Disagree-1
	Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
	Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Re	sponse Rate:	66.67% (6 of 9)
1	Nothing! I real	ly loved this class!
2	different textb	ook as it got repetitive but other than that, he did a great job with this course
3	None he was g	reat and got the point across to us.
4	The book was material.	my least favorite part of the class. Professor Herges was able create great discussion from the book but maybe its time to look into different
5	I don't have m type of assign	any complaints. The only thing I could think of would be to add in another type of activity besides articles on Fridays. Maybe like a free-for-all ment where we try to find something really interesting on the web and bring it to class.
6	Keep up the g	ood work.

FA 2014-2015 In-Class Course Evaluation - End of Term FA 2014-2015

Dakota Wesleyan University

Course:	COM 205 A - Communication Media & Society	Department:	СОМ
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	10 / 16 (62.50%)

	COM 205 - A												
In-Class Course Evaluation		Re	espon	ses		Course							
	F	s	J	s	o	N	Mean	Std Dev					
Q1 Student status:	1	4	0	4	1	10	3.0	1.26					

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

		COM 205 - A												
In-Class Course Evaluation		F	Responses			Course								
	М	М	GE	E	o	N	Mean	Std Dev						
Q2 Class is requirement for:	7	0	1	1	1	10	4.1	1.45						

 $\textbf{Responses:} \ [\textbf{M}] \ \ \text{Major=5} \ \ [\textbf{M}] \ \ \text{Minor=4} \ \ [\textbf{GE}] \ \ \text{Gen Ed=3} \ \ [\textbf{E}] \ \ \text{Elective=2} \ \ [\textbf{O}] \ \ \text{Other=1}$

					Α						
In-C	lass Course Evaluation	F	les	ро	nse	s		Course	urse		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev		
Q3	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	7	3	0	0	0	10	4.7	.46		
Q4	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	9	1	0	0	0	10	4.9	.30		
Q5	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	7	3	0	0	0	10	4.7	.46		
Q6	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	9	1	0	0	0	10	4.9	.30		
Q7	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	5	4	1	0	0	10	4.4	.66		
Q8	Technical support was available.	6	3	1	0	0	10	4.5	.67		
Q9	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	6	3	0	0	0	9	4.7	.47		
Q10	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	6	4	0	0	0	10	4.6	.49		
Q11	An effective learning community was established in the course.	7	1	2	0	0	10	4.5	.81		
Q12	Registration for the course was easy.	7	2	1	0	0	10	4.6	.66		

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

				ı	Hei	ges	, Ky	le N	N		
In-C	lass Instructor Evaluations	F	les	poi	nse	s	1	ndividu	ual		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev		
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	7	3	0	0	0	10	4.7	.46		
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	6	4	0	0	0	10	4.6	.49		
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	7	3	0	0	0	10	4.7	.46		
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	5	4	1	0	0	10	4.4	.66		
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	7	3	0	0	0	10	4.7	.46		
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	7	3	0	0	0	10	4.7	.46		
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	7	3	0	0	0	10	4.7	.46		
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	6	3	0	0	0	9	4.7	.47		
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	5	5	0	0	0	10	4.5	.50		
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	6	3	1	0	0	10	4.5	.67		
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	7	2	1	0	0	10	4.6	.66		
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	8	2	0	0	0	10	4.8	.40		

Question: What were the strongest qualities of the course?

Response Rate: 80.00% (8 of 10)

- I enjoyed the interactive nature of the course. Interacting with not only the instructor, but other students every single time we had class was so beneficial. It was always well-organized and the routine in what and when assignments were due made it easier to get through.
- This class and its content is so dynamic that the discussions and content felt very fluid. That fits this class very well and Kyle was able to let us lead the discussions and reflections.
- 3 all the discussions we had in class
- 4 The way the teacher kept discussion going so good. Even when the students weren't talking the teacher still had something to talk about.
- 5 The open discussions we had it class on the daily! You learn to look at of peoples point of view rather than just your own.
- 6 Being able to interact about the different areas in Social media and other kinds of ICT's that are available to us.
- 7 Then communication in the class between each other was good.

8 Interesting

	Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N						
	Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?						
R	esponse Rate:	60.00 % (6 of 10)						
1	. It was my favorite course thus far, but sometimes the material got redundant, but that's just the course, I think.							
2	Number 23 is particularly accurate to this class, Kyle's teaching style and the content and delivery method. Require online discussions to be completed in the week and responses at the time now. This would allow for more full conversations for a wider variety of personal schedules.							
3	maybe have the discussions, articles, and papers due every other week instead of every week							
4	I think we sho	uld only do articles every other week. It got kind of boring listening to everyone's summary of their article every single Friday.						

5 To not let one person take over the whole discussion!

6 I don't think I have any.

FA 2012-2013 - Mid-term In-class Evaluation	Dakota Wesleyan
FA 2012-2013	University

Course:	COM 299 A - SI Tpcs:Communication Media/Society	Department:	СОМ
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	11 / 11 (100%)

				Н	ler	ges	, Ky	le N	
In-C	lass Instructor Evaluations	F	les	pon	se	s	I	ndividu	ıal
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q1	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	9	2	0	0	0	11	4.8	.39
Q2	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	9	2	0	0	0	11	4.8	.39
Q3	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	8	3	0	0	0	11	4.7	.45
Q4	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	6	4	1	0	0	11	4.5	.66
Q5	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	5	5	1	0	0	11	4.4	.64
Q6	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	6	5	0	0	0	11	4.5	.50
Q7	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	10	1	0	0	0	11	4.9	.29
Q8	The instructor was well prepared for class.	9	2	0	0	0	11	4.8	.39
Q9	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	6	5	0	0	0	11	4.5	.50
Q10	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	7	4	0	0	0	11	4.6	.48
Q11	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	8	2	0	0	0	10	4.8	.40
012	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	8	3	0	0	0	11	4.7	.45

	Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
	Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Re	esponse Rate:	45.45 % (5 of 11)
1	youre doing a	good job.
2	He should hav	e less questions on the midterm coming up.
3	Nothing!	
4	none	
		any improvements that need to be made in this course. But from what I have learned in this class, I think DWU should offer a course

I can't think of any improvements that need to be made in this course. But from what I have learned in this class, I think DWU should offer a course specifically in learning the basics on how to use social networking sites such as Facebook, Twitter, or blogging. Maybe offer a advanced LinkedIn techniques course to help us improve our professional profiles for potential employers. I think that we could integrate that into the Learn Strong courses, making them more beneficial to us in our graduating requirements. I think it will help students be able to find jobs quicker post graduation.

Course:	COM 299 A - SI Tpcs:Communication Media/Society	Department:	СОМ
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	11 / 11 (100%)

					COM 299 - A									
In	-Class Course Evaluation	R	es	por	ıse	s		Course	e					
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev					
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	9	2	0	0	0	11	4.8	.39					
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	10	1	0	0	0	11	4.9	.29					
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	8	2	0	0	0	10	4.8	.40					
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	8	3	0	0	0	11	4.7	.45					

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

	COM 299 - A													
In-Class Course Evaluation		Re	espons	ses										
	F	s	J	s	О	N	Mean	Std Dev						
Q5 Student status:	0	0	6	5	0	11	3.5	.50						

 $\textbf{Responses:} \ [\textbf{F}] \ \ \text{Freshman=1} \ \ [\textbf{S}] \ \ \ \text{Sophomore=2} \ \ [\textbf{J}] \ \ \text{Junior=3} \ \ [\textbf{S}] \ \ \text{Senior=4} \ \ [\textbf{O}] \ \ \text{Other=5}$

					СОМ	299 - A		
In-Class Course Evaluation	Course Evaluation Responses							
	М	М	GE	E	o	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q6 Class is requirement for:	7	2	0	2	0	11	4.3	1.14

 $\textbf{Responses:} \ [\textbf{M}] \ \ \text{Major=5} \ \ [\textbf{M}] \ \ \text{Minor=4} \ \ [\textbf{GE}] \ \ \text{Gen Ed=3} \ \ [\textbf{E}] \ \ \text{Elective=2} \ \ [\textbf{O}] \ \ \text{Other=1}$

				ŀ	lei	ges	s, Kyle N					
In-C	class Instructor Evaluations	F	les	por	ıse	s	1	Individu	ual			
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev			
Q7	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	8	3	0	0	0	11	4.7	.45			
Q8	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	9	2	0	0	0	11	4.8	.39			
Q9	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	8	3	0	0	0	11	4.7	.45			
Q10	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	9	2	0	0	0	11	4.8	.39			
Q11	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	8	3	0	0	0	11	4.7	.45			
Q12	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	7	4	0	0	0	11	4.6	.48			
Q13	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	7	3	0	0	0	10	4.7	.46			
Q14	The instructor was well prepared for class.	9	2	0	0	0	11	4.8	.39			
Q15	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	8	3	0	0	0	11	4.7	.45			
Q16	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	7	4	0	0	0	11	4.6	.48			
Q17	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	8	3	0	0	0	11	4.7	.45			
Q18	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	9	2	0	0	0	11	4.8	.39			

Question: What were the strongest qualities of the course?

Response Rate: 72.73% (8 of 11)

1 Well prepared for class everyday, also knew what he was talking about and helped us learn.

2 we went over all the reading material in class so it made things a lot clearer

3 Learning about the technology revelution and the valuable usage of newer technology.

4 eductional

5 Kyle knows what he's doing! I never questioned him once about what he was teaching.

6 The strongest quality was that the instructor tied many of the things we were learning to real-world events and aspects of our own lives.

7 How we learned and the way we were taught

8 Information and everything learned was interesting and relevant.

Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	54.55 % (6 of 11)

1 none.

2 Great class, great teacher!

- 3
 none

 4
 Nothing!
- f I would suggest a slight decrease in the number of papers and an increase in class discussion.
- 6 make sure you put the test in chronological order for next semester. haha